

Committee for the Development, Evaluation, and Accreditation of Academic Programs

1_ Review Report of SSRP Major Evidence of the Essential Criteria_Higher Study Degree_Program Title:.....

1- Standard One: Program Management and Quality Assurance

#	Evidence	Related Criterion	Rating out of 4	Recommendations
1.	Statistics prove that the program has a sufficient number of qualified staff to perform its administrative, professional, and technical tasks, + their job description to define tasks and authorities. *	1-1-2		
2.	Program handbook* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	1-1-7		
3.	Manuals and procedures to activate the values of scientific integrity, intellectual property rights, rules of ethical practices, and proper conduct in all academic, research, administrative, and service fields and activities. *	1-1-8		
4.	Quality Assurance System Document* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	1-2-1		
5.	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) Report*	1-2-2		
6.	Improvement Plans Based on the Analysis of KPI Results***	1-2-2		
7.	Report on the results of surveys for 2 years prior to the visit* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	Miscellaneous		

Committee for the Development, Evaluation, and Accreditation of Academic Programs

1_ Review Report of SSRP Major Evidence of the Essential Criteria_Higher Study Degree_Program Title:.....

2- Standard Two: Teaching and Learning

#	Evidence	Related Criterion	Rating out of 4	Recommendations
8.	Completion of the NCAAA Template for Program Alignment with the National Qualifications Framework (NQF)* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-1-2		
9.	▪ Completion of the NCAAA Learning Outcomes Alignment Form with Academic Standards (where applicable)* ▪ Evidence demonstrating the alignment of learning outcomes with specialized academic standards and labor market requirements through matrices, benchmarking, surveys, and other relevant mechanisms (for programs for which no Specialized Academic Standards document has been issued by ETEC). (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-1-2		
10.	The program applies appropriate mechanisms and tools for measuring the learning outcomes and verifying their achievement according to specific performance levels and assessment plans. * PLOs Assessment Plan+ PLOs Assessment Report for two years (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-1-4		
11.	Completion of the NCAAA PLOs Assessment Verification Form (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-3-6		
12.	Policies and procedures for the approval and modification of the academic program and courses.* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-2-1		
13.	Minutes of meeting, decisions and forms to prove that the curriculum design considers fulfilling the program goals and learning outcomes and the educational, scientific, technical, and professional developments in the field of specialization, and it is periodically reviewed. * (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-2-1		

The evidence highlighted in red is required either within the core criteria of the standards or as part of the eligibility requirements for the accreditation visit. Therefore, it should be given the highest level of attention and priority in cases where observations or deficiencies are identified, particularly within the Teaching and Learning Standard.

Committee for the Development, Evaluation, and Accreditation of Academic Programs

1_ Review Report of SSRP Major Evidence of the Essential Criteria_Higher Study Degree_Program Title:.....

14.	A brief report or explanation within the Self-Study Report demonstrating how the study plan achieves balance and progression across academic levels between general requirements and specialization requirements, as well as between theoretical and practical components.* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-2-2		
15.	Verification, through the Program Learning Outcomes distribution matrix in the Program Specification document, of the sequential methodology for students' acquisition of knowledge and skills in terms of introductory, practice, and mastery levels, as well as the balanced horizontal and vertical contribution of courses to the achievement of the Program Learning Outcomes.	2-2-2 3-2-2		
16.	Formal Circulars and follow-up procedures to ensure a unified application of the study plan as well as the program and the course specifications offered at more than one site (sections of male and female students and different branches). *	2-2-4		
17.	Program Specifications* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-2-4		
18.	Course Specifications* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-2-4		
19.	Field Training Manual and Quality Monitoring Mechanisms for Field Training (if applicable)* (one of the required documents for the review visit)			
20.	Program Report for two years (Annual Program Report)* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-3-1		
21.	Course Reports for two years + samples of students' work* (one of the required documents for the review visit)	2-3-1		
22.	The program monitors the commitment of the teaching staff to the learning and teaching strategies and assessment methods included in the program and course specifications through specific mechanisms. *	2-3-1		
23.	Effective procedures are used to control academic integrity at the program level to verify that the work and assignments of students are their own. *	2-3-7		

The evidence highlighted in red is required either within the core criteria of the standards or as part of the eligibility requirements for the accreditation visit. Therefore, it should be given the highest level of attention and priority in cases where observations or deficiencies are identified, particularly within the Teaching and Learning Standard.

Committee for the Development, Evaluation, and Accreditation of Academic Programs

1_ Review Report of SSRP Major Evidence of the Essential Criteria_Higher Study Degree_Program Title:.....

3- Standard Three: Students

#	Evidence	Related Criterion	Rating out of 4	Recommendations
24.	Academic , Career, Psychological and Social Advising Manual*	3-0-3		
25.	Results of the Key Performance Indicator (KPI) Measuring Student Satisfaction with the Services Provided*	3-0-6		
26.	Improvement Plans Based on the Results of Student Satisfaction Surveys*	3-0-6		

The evidence highlighted in red is required either within the core criteria of the standards or as part of the eligibility requirements for the accreditation visit. Therefore, it should be given the highest level of attention and priority in cases where observations or deficiencies are identified, particularly within the Teaching and Learning Standard.

Committee for the Development, Evaluation, and Accreditation of Academic Programs

1_ Review Report of SSRP Major Evidence of the Essential Criteria_Higher Study Degree_Program Title:.....

4- Standard Four: Faculty

#	Evidence	Related Criterion	Rating out of 4	Recommendations
27.	Adequacy of the Number of Faculty Members* (via teaching load + teacher-student ratio per section)	4-0-1		
28.	Faculty Qualifications and Competency in Relation to the Program Specialization (Evidence: Alignment Matrix between the Course List and Faculty Specializations)*	4-0-1		
29.	Faculty CVs * (one of the required documents for the review visit)	4-0-1		
30.	Faculty Research Activity Indicator* (one of the required KPIs by NCAAA)	4-0-3		

The evidence highlighted in red is required either within the core criteria of the standards or as part of the eligibility requirements for the accreditation visit. Therefore, it should be given the highest level of attention and priority in cases where observations or deficiencies are identified, particularly within the Teaching and Learning Standard.

Committee for the Development, Evaluation, and Accreditation of Academic Programs

1_ Review Report of SSRP Major Evidence of the Essential Criteria_Higher Study Degree_Program Title:.....

5- Standard Five: Learning Resources, Facilities, and Equipment

#	Requirements	Rating out of 4	Recommendations
31.	Safety, environmental conservation, and hazardous waste disposal standards are applied efficiently and effectively, and all public and occupational health and safety requirements are made available in facilities, equipment, and educational and research activities. *	5-0-3	
32.	KPI Results on Faculty, Staff, and Student Satisfaction with Technical Services, Educational Services, and Learning Resources*	5-0-5	
33.	Improvement Plans Based on the Analysis of Satisfaction KPI Results Related to Technical Services, Educational Services, and Learning Resources*	5-0-5	

The evidence highlighted in red is required either within the core criteria of the standards or as part of the eligibility requirements for the accreditation visit. Therefore, it should be given the highest level of attention and priority in cases where observations or deficiencies are identified, particularly within the Teaching and Learning Standard.

Committee for the Development, Evaluation, and Accreditation of Academic Programs

1_ Review Report of SSRP Major Evidence of the Essential Criteria_Higher Study Degree_Program Title:.....

6- Standard six: Research and Projects (For Postgraduate Studies)

#	Requirements	Rating out of 4	Recommendations
34.	The program implements and monitors an operational plan for research activities that is aligned with the university's research directions and priorities, using clear and specific performance indicators and continuously improving its research performance based on the outcomes of the plan. (one of the required documents for the review visit)	6-0-1	
35.	The program has clear, publicized, and accessible instructions and guidelines for the preparation and evaluation of research, theses, and projects, and applies specific mechanisms to monitor, evaluate, and continuously improve the effectiveness of academic supervision of these scholarly activities. (one of the required documents for the review visit)	6-0-6 6-0-7 6-0-8	
36.	Clear policies of ethics and regulations of research and verifies researchers' commitment to them according to appropriate mechanisms and their periodic improvements*	6-0-11	

The evidence highlighted in red is required either within the core criteria of the standards or as part of the eligibility requirements for the accreditation visit. Therefore, it should be given the highest level of attention and priority in cases where observations or deficiencies are identified, particularly within the Teaching and Learning Standard.